

COMMENT	REFERENCE LOCATION IN NOV 8 VERSION	DATE RECEIVED	RESPONSE
Add synopsis of federal consistency review responsibilities delegated to the California Coastal Commission.	13	10/20/2006	completed
Wording should be changed: "SWRCB has authority to create state water quality protection areas and including areas of special biological significance**"	13	10/25/2006	completed
Changes to description of National Estuarine Research Reserve System	15	9/6/2006	completed
Add Marine Mammal Protection Act and the Endangered Species Act to list of laws giving authority to NOAA Fisheries.	15	10/10/2006	completed
Change "broad authority" to "authority" in reference to National Marine Sanctuary Program.	15	10/10/2006	completed
NOAA Fisheries has jurisdiction in waters 3-200 nautical miles. Secretary of Commerce, acting through NOAA Fisheries, has management authority.	15	10/10/2006	completed
Question regarding the California Coastal Commission's jurisdictional boundary as shown in figure 2.	22	10/20/2006	completed (figure was complete)
Remove OPC as a case study and move relevant info to recommendation section	24	10/24/2006	completed
State law does not require the development of a stock assessment	28	10/10/2006	completed
Case studies would be more informative if evaluated against some common, explicit set of criteria, such as guidance on interagency collaboration.	29	10/10/2006	Deleted guidance on interagency collaboration because guidance was not realistic (previously appendix B). Attempted to more explicit about evaluating success of case studies.
Include the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission in the Federal-OPC working group	30	10/20/2006	completed
Federal-OPC working group should not be composed of the directors of agencies but with staff more familiar with MPAs.	30		Changed this recommendation so that Federal-OPC working group deals with all ocean and coastal issues, not just MPAs. Federal representatives to meet with OPC Steering Committee at regularly scheduled meeting roughly 2x/year.
Include the Executive Director of the California Coastal Commission in the Federal-OPC working group	30	9/6/2006	completed

COMMENT	REFERENCE LOCATION IN NOV 8 VERSION	DATE RECEIVED	RESPONSE
Federal-OPC working group will create another layer of bureaucracy and will consume lots of time, effort, and funding.	30	10/10/2006	see above
Include data from SAT to illustrate the ecological benefits of state and federal MPAs located next to one another (to put pressure on federal gov't)	33	9/6/2006	completed
PFMC's jurisdiction reaches only so far as the species it actively manages under an FMP.	33	10/10/2006	completed
Additional information regarding the USCG	43	11/9/2006	completed
Addition of a table reflecting each agency's resources respective to surveillance and enforcement	44	9/6/2006	inserted new version as table 5
The discussion under aquaculture should include the California Coastal Commission.	47	10/20/2006	completed
Clarify the use of the use of monitoring and research.	49	10/13/2006	completed
The text describing existing agency programs and resources overstates their capacities and expertise relative to MPA monitoring.	49	10/13/2006	completed
California State Parks has few resources for monitoring.	52	10/13/2006	deleted this recommendation
Delete recommendations 14 and 15 because Regional MPA Advisory Committees would be too large and the purpose of including directors of research programs is unclear.	52	10/13/2006	rewrote recommendations 15 and 16 to make composition of advisory group more flexible
Recommendation 15: such a large group would be unmanageable.	52	10/10/2006	rewrote recommendations 15 and 16 to make composition of advisory group more flexible
Section of emergency and contingency planning gives undue influence to the Safe Seas drill. Many other drills occur each year.	53	10/20/2006	rewrote to make description more general
Update this report periodically	53	9/6/2006	added recommendation 16 to conclusion section
Annual update from state and federal agencies on progress in designating MPAs	53	9/6/2006	added recommendation 16 to conclusion section
More complete description of California Coastal Commission's federal consistency review program.	85	10/20/2006	completed

COMMENT	REFERENCE LOCATION IN NOV 8 VERSION	DATE RECEIVED	RESPONSE
SWRCB would be included under the agency/org column. It should be noted that CWA 301(h) waivers are issued by the USEPA.	103	10/25/2006	completed
Inclusion of Reef Check program into appendix C.	104	10/4/2006	completed
California Ocean Plan sets water quality regulations, it is not only a monitoring plan.	106	10/25/2006	completed
The California Coastal Commission, Bay Conservation and Development Commission, and the State Coastal Conservancy are charged by NOAA to review projects under the Coastal Zone Management Act.	115	10/20/2006	completed
Add other agencies will oil-related responsibilities under the Lempert-Keene-Seastrand Act.	120	10/20/2006	completed
Include the Minerals Management Service for oil platform-related oil and hazardous materials discharges in federal waters.	120	10/20/2006	completed
Additional information regarding the Bay Protection and Toxic Cleanup Program.	124	10/25/2006	completed
Changes to California Nonpoint Source Plan Program.	125	10/25/2006	completed
Update names of the ASBS	128	10/25/2006	completed
Wording should be changed: "Under CWA section 401, every applicant for a federal permit or license for any activity which may result in a discharge to any waters of the U.S. must **"	144	10/25/2006	completed
Wording should be changed to read as: "Such discharges may occur only when there is no practical alternative that is less damaging to the aquatic environment."  The following should also be added: "Stricter protections are afforded to 'special aquatic sites' (40 CFR 230-233) such as wetlands."  Wording should be changed: "EPA can veto a USACE permit decision if EPA's environmental guidelines for discharges are not met determines that the discharge would have unacceptable adverse environmental effects."	149	10/25/2006	completed
It should be noted that waste discharge requirements for the discharge of dredge or fill material into state waters is not under federal jurisdiction.	150	10/25/2006	completed

COMMENT	REFERENCE LOCATION IN NOV 8 VERSION	DATE RECEIVED	RESPONSE
Include the Pacific States/British Columbia Task Force, Offshore Vessel Traffic Risk Management Workgroup, to the description of west coast wide (San Diego to Cook Inlet, AK) vessel traffic recommendations.	155	10/20/2006	completed
Describe degree to which coordination is currently taking place	general	9/6/2006	added better description of degree to which coordination is currently taking place to text and to executive summary
The report alternates between discussing coordination and collaboration. The shifting focus needs explanation.	general	10/10/2006	attempted to be more explicit when recommending coordination vs. collaboration
Apply the guidance on interagency collaboration to the recommendations of the report. Alternatively, delete the guidance.	general	10/10/2006	deleted guidance on interagency collaboration because guidance was not realistic (previously appendix B)
Coordination with federal fisheries managers deserves greater attention.	general	10/10/2006	Legal uncertainty regarding the relationship between MLPA and fisheries management. To address this comment, information regarding NOAA Fisheries research and monitoring resources and opportunities to work with state agencies were added to the report. A representative of PFMC or NOAA Fisheries was included in the 2 recommended oversight coordinating bodies.
Additional information regarding Tijuana River National Estuarine Research Reserve	various (36 and 47)	8/31/2006	completed
Additional information regarding San Francisco National Estuarine Research Reserve	various (36 and 47)	9/14/2006	completed
Additional information regarding Elkhorn Slough National Estuarine Research Reserve	various (36 and 48)	9/7/2006	completed